

Towards an Automated Investigation of the Impact of BGP Routing Changes on Network Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014



BGP Routing Changes Network Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014













































































BGP Routing Changes Network Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014



Impact BGP Routing Changes Network Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014











"Impact"







"Impact"







"Impact"





















SLAs







SLAs











SLAs





QoE

































































Motivations (and Applications)




















































Oh, no! I'm sensitive to delay!











Ĩ

















ImpactBGP Routing ChangesNetwork Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014



Automated Investigation of the Impact BGP Routing Changes Network Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014



Towards an Automated Investigation of the Impact of BGP Routing Changes on Network Delay Variations

<u>Massimo Rimondini</u> Claudio Squarcella Giuseppe Di Battista

Passive and Active Measurement Conference (PAM 2014) March 11th, 2014









1. Methodology





1. Methodology

Automated Investigation of the Impact of BGP Routing Changes on Network Delay Variations





1. Methodology

- Determines if a routing change caused a significant RTT variation
- Statistical methods





1. Methodology

- Determines if a routing change caused a significant RTT variation
- Statistical methods
- 2. Application
 - RIPE RIS + RIPE Atlas
 - Test in the wild





1. Methodology

- Determines if a routing change caused a significant RTT variation
- Statistical methods
- 2. Application
 - RIPE RIS + RIPE Atlas
 - Test in the wild
- 3. A-posteriori statistics









 Pucha, H., Zhang, Y., Mao, Z., Hu, Y.: Understanding network delay changes caused by routing events.
 Proc. SIGMETRICS 2007

 Routing changes cause delay variations
 as opposed to congestion
 average delays mostly impacted by interdomain changes









- Chuah, C.N., Bhattacharyya, S., Diot, C.: Measuring I-BGP updates and their impact on traffic. Tech. Rep. TR02-ATL-051099, Sprint ATL 2002
- Wang, F., Mao, Z.M., Wang, J., Gao, L., Bush, R.: A Measurement study on the impact of routing events on end-to-end internet path performance. SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev. 36(4), 375– 386, 2006
- Zhang, Y., Mao, Z., Wang, J.: A framework for measuring and predicting the impact of routing changes. Proc. INFOCOM 2007

Routing convergence can cause performance degradations





- Chuah, C.N., Bhattacharyya, S., Diot, C.: Measuring I-BGP updates and their impact on traffic. Tech. Rep. TR02-ATL-051099, Sprint ATL 2002
- Wang, F., Mao, Z.M., Wang, J., Gao, L., Bush, R.: A Measurement study on the impact of routing events on end-to-end internet path performance. SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev. 36(4), 375– 386, 2006
- Zhang, Y., Mao, Z., Wang, J.: A framework for measuring and predicting the impact of routing changes. Proc. INFOCOM 2007

Routing convergence can cause performance degradations









 Da Lozzo, G., Di Battista, G., Squarcella, C.: Visual discovery of the correlation between BGP routing and round-trip delay active measurements. Computing, 1–11, 2013



Focus on the graphical metaphor









- Mahimkar, A., Ge, Z., Wang, J., Yates, J., Zhang, Y., Emmons, J., Huntley, B., Stockert, M.: **Rapid detection of maintenance induced changes in service performance**. Proc. CoNEXT 2011
- Mahimkar, A., Song, H., Ge, Z., Shaikh, A., Wang, J., Yates, J., Zhang, Y., Emmons, J.: Detecting the performance impact of upgrades in large operational networks. Proc. SIGCOMM 2010
- Identify patterns in performance changes
 statistical rule mining
 network configuration information





- Mahimkar, A., Ge, Z., Wang, J., Yates, J., Zhang, Y., Emmons, J., Huntley, B., Stockert, M.: Rapid detection of maintenance induced changes in service performance. Proc. CoNEXT 2011
- Mahimkar, A., Song, H., Ge, Z., Shaikh, A., Wang, J., Yates, J., Zhang, Y., Emmons, J.: Detecting the performance impact of upgrades in large operational networks. Proc. SIGCOMM 2010
- Identify patterns in performance changes
 statistical rule mining
 network configuration information









 Tsamoura, E., Gounaris, A.: Incorporating change detection in network coordinate systems for large data transfers. Proc. PCI 2013

Predict network delay between host pairs change detection algorithms







Scenario







Scenario







Scenario






Scenario







Scenario

























Scenario





















Raw RTT measurements

BGP updates









































































































Methodology – Parameters





Methodology – Parameters



Data set	Correlation tuning
Time window	Time shift
Probe ID	Elbow slope threshold
Target	Penalty
(BGP) Prefix	Tolerance window
Collector peer	





Time shift Elbow slope threshold

Penalty

Tolerance window















RTT Measurements BGP updates







RTT Measurements BGP updates

Periodical

On-change







RTT Measurements	BGP updates	
Periodical	On-change	
Constant rate	Possibly bursty	





RTT Measurements	BGP updates
Periodical	On-change
Constant rate	Possibly bursty
Highly variable	Few paths













RTT Measurements	BGP updates	
Periodical	On-change	
Constant rate	Possibly bursty	
Highly variable	Few paths	



31 Jan 2012 31 Jan 2012 05:02:12 am 05:02:22 am


Methodology – Preprocessing





Methodology – Preprocessing







Methodology – Time shift



Account for
clock offsets
BGP update propagation delays
MRAI
relative position of devices







+ Goal







+ Goal







Technique Changepoint analysis statistical method(s)





Technique

Changepoint analysis statistical method(s)

Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT)

• Killick, R., Fearnhead, P., Eckley, I.: **Optimal detection of changepoints with a linear computational cost**. Jour. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 107(500), 1590–1598, 2012





Technique

Changepoint analysis statistical method(s)

Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT)

Detect mean & variance shifts in time series data





Technique

Changepoint analysis statistical method(s)

Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT)

Detect mean & variance shifts in time series data

- long-lasting small changes
- short-lived significant changes





Technique

Changepoint analysis statistical method(s)

Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT)

Detect mean & variance shifts in time series data

- long-lasting small changes
- short-lived significant changes
- Tunable

significant shifts

volatile shifts







Technique

Changepoint analysis statistical method(s)

Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT)

Detect mean & variance shifts in time series data

- long-lasting small changes
- short-lived significant changes

Tunable



















































































Correlation factor

$cf := \frac{\# \text{ of correlated BGP updates}}{\# \text{ of BGP updates}}$





Time window peer

BGP updates

Correlation factor

$cf := \frac{\# \text{ of correlated BGP updates}}{\# \text{ of BGP updates}}$



measurements





Correlation factor

of correlated BGP updates cf := # of BGP updates rime window Probe TD Target



How much are they correlated?

BGP updates

Time window peer





Correlation factor

$cf := \frac{\# \text{ of correlated BGP updates}}{\# \text{ of BGP updates}}$



Time winds II

How much are they correlated?

BGP updates



Methodology – Shortcomings



We do not account for:
Routing changes on the reverse path
RTT biases
Clock sync
Load balancers





Methodology – Shortcomings



We do not account for:
Routing changes on the reverse path
RTT biases
Clock sync
Load balancers







Interesting Results










Data sources









Data sources











Data sources



SamKnows™









Data sources



FCC Measuring Broadband America









Data sources



MisuraInternet



















Data sources



CAIDA Archipelago









Data sources



M-Lab









Data sources











Data sources



RIPE RIS









Data sources









Data sources



Ripe Atlas









Data sources







RIPE RIS









 Data sources
Jan 2013 footprint:

- 55 ASes
- 126 CPs
- 200 probes







 Data sources
Jan 2013 footprint:

- 55 ASes
- 126 CPs
- 200 probes

193.0.0.195 62.101 05 0 193.0.0.195 62.100 05 0 193.0.0.195 62.100 05 0 193.0.0.195 62.100 05 0 193.0.0.100 05 0 193.0.000 05 0 193.0.000 05 0 193.0.000 05 0 193.0.000 05 0 193.000 05 0 193.0000 05 0 193.0000 05 0 193.0000 05 0 193.0000 0 193.									
		# of probes							
		1	2	3	4	5	7	13	22
# of CPs	1	22		1	1				
	2	12	3	3	2				1
	3	1			1	1			
	4	1	1						
	5	1						1	
	6	1					1		
	7			1					





 Data sources
Jan 2013 footprint:

- 55 ASes
- 126 CPs
- 200 probes







 Data sources
Jan 2013 footprint:
55 ASes

- 126 CPs
- 200 probes



Time window = 2 years (Jan 2011-Dec 2012)





 Data sources
Jan 2013 footprint:

- 55 ASes
- 126 CPs
- 200 probes



Time window = 2 years (Jan 2011-Dec 2012)

- 23 Targets
- one RTT every 4 minutes





Targets

ID	Target IP	BGP prefix	% of AS paths of len ≤5 (global avg.)	% of probes with avg. RTT ≤300ms
1001	193.0.14.129 k.root-servers.net	193.0.14.0/24 (Anycast)	87.5%	99.5%
1003	193.0.0.193 ns.ripe.net	193.0.0.0/21 (Unicast)	87.2%	97.3%
1004	192.5.5.241 f.root-servers.net	192.5.5.0/24 (Anycast)	57.8%	100%
1005	192.36.148.17 i.root-servers.net	192.36.148.0/24 (Anycast)	55.5%	99.1%





Targets

ID	Target IP	BGP prefix	% of AS paths of len ≤5 (global avg.)	% of probes with avg. RTT ≤300ms
1001	193.0.14.129 k.root-servers.net	193.0.14.0/24 (Anycast)	87.5%	99.5%
1003	193.0.0.193 ns.ripe.net	193.0.0.0/21 (Unicast)	87.2%	97.3%
1004	192.5.5.241 f.root-servers.net	192.5.5.0/24 (Anycast)	57.8%	100%
1005	192.36.148.17 i.root-servers.net	192.36.148.0/24 (Anycast)	55.5%	99.1%

Choice driven by... data availability!













Photo ©Martin Schoeller









Photo ©Martin Schoeller







Photo ©Martin Schoeller







 ◆ Find the combination of
■ Time shift
■ Elbow slope threshold (⇒ Penalty)
■ Tolerance window
That maximizes distinction between well correlated and badly correlated data







- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed
 - Target and a few
 - Prefixes
 - (one comprising the Target)
- for all probe/CP
- pairs (in the same AS)
- we get...





- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







Higher cf values

- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







Higher *cf* values

- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







Higher *cf* values

- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







Higher *cf* values

- Time shift
- Elbow slope threshold
- Tolerance window
- considering a fixed Target and a few **Prefixes** (one comprising the Target) for all probe/CP pairs (in the same AS) we get.







Correlation score

◆ Independent of the specific probe and CP
◆ Higher score ⇒ lower correlation





Correlation score

◆ Independent of the specific probe and CP
◆ Higher score ⇒ lower correlation




Back to Correlation



Correlation score

◆ Independent of the specific probe and CP
 ◆ Higher score ⇒ lower correlation























Time shift, Elbow slope threshold



Correlation factor(s)



Correlation score























































Time shift = 60s Elbow slope threshold = 10⁴





- + Time shift = 60s
- + Elbow slope threshold = 10^4
- Tolerance window = 5 mins
 - Based on the rate of RTT measurements





+ Time shift = 60s

- + Elbow slope threshold = 10^4
- Tolerance window = 5 mins
 - Based on the rate of RTT measurements

Good for all Targets and Prefixes!







Measurement ID				
1001	1003	1004	1005	





Measurement ID				
1001	1003	1004	1005	

Path-change: occurrence of $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ recorded by a CP and matched with an RTT variation seen by a probe in the same AS





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%

Path-change: occurrence of $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ recorded by a CP and matched with an RTT variation seen by a probe in the same AS





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%

Path-change: occurrence of $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ recorded by a CP and matched with an RTT variation seen by a probe in the same AS





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%
path-change-pairs with $sign(\Delta RTT_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}) = -sign(\Delta RTT_{P_2 \rightarrow P_1})$	64.8%	52.1%	43.3%	68.8%





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%
path-change-pairs with $sign(\Delta RTT_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}) = -sign(\Delta RTT_{P_2 \rightarrow P_1})$	64.8%	52.1%	43.3%	68.8%





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%
path-change-pairs with $sign(\Delta RTT_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}) = -sign(\Delta RTT_{P_2 \rightarrow P_1})$	64.8%	52.1%	43.3%	68.8%
path-changes with $sign(\Delta ASpathlen) = sign(\Delta RTT)$	76.4%	57.4%	64%	80.6%





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%
path-change-pairs with $sign(\Delta RTT_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}) = -sign(\Delta RTT_{P_2 \rightarrow P_1})$	64.8%	52.1%	43.3%	68.8%
path-changes with $sign(\Delta ASpathlen) = sign(\Delta RTT)$	76.4%	57.4%	64%	80.6%





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%
path-change-pairs with $sign(\Delta RTT_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}) = -sign(\Delta RTT_{P_2 \rightarrow P_1})$	64.8%	52.1%	43.3%	68.8%
path-changes with $sign(\Delta ASpathlen) = sign(\Delta RTT)$	76.4%	57.4%	64%	80.6%
path-changes with $\sigma_{\Delta RTT}/\overline{\Delta RTT} < 0.25$ (~same BGP change \Rightarrow same RTT change)	73.6%	75.5%	95.5%	93.1%





	Measurement ID			
	1001	1003	1004	1005
path-changes with consistent $sign(\Delta RTT)$	87.5%	78.6%	72.5%	86.4%
path-change-pairs with $sign(\Delta RTT_{P_1 \rightarrow P_2}) = -sign(\Delta RTT_{P_2 \rightarrow P_1})$	64.8%	52.1%	43.3%	68.8%
path-changes with $sign(\Delta ASpathlen) = sign(\Delta RTT)$	76.4%	57.4%	64%	80.6%
path-changes with $\sigma_{\Delta RTT}/\overline{\Delta RTT} < 0.25$ (~same BGP change \Rightarrow same RTT change)	73.6%	75.5%	95.5%	93.1%









Traceroutes from RIPE Atlas
 One every 20 mins





◆ Traceroutes from RIPE Atlas
 ■ One every 20 mins
 ◆ IP→AS mapping
 ■ Based on RIPE RIS BGP tables





◆ Traceroutes from RIPE Atlas
 ■ One every 20 mins
 ◆ IP→AS mapping
 ■ Based on RIPE RIS BGP tables
 ◆ BGP-RTT → BGP-traceroute





Traceroutes from RIPE Atlas One every 20 mins + IP \rightarrow AS mapping Based on RIPE RIS BGP tables \bullet BGP-RTT \rightarrow BGP-traceroute Results: correlated BGP-RTT data (high cf) For well

there is evidence of correlation even with traceroute data





◆ Traceroutes from RIPE Atlas
■ One every 20 mins
◆ IP→AS mapping
■ Based on RIPE RIS BGP tables
◆ BGP-RTT → BGP-traceroute
◆ Results:

For badly correlated BGP-RTT data (low cf) there is no evidence of correlation even with traceroute data



Further Possible Analyses





Further Possible Analyses



Recall from the motivations



Further Possible Analyses



Recall from the motivations Equivalence classes




Further Possible Analyses



Recall from the motivations Equivalence classes



Placement of delay-sensitive services



Further Possible Analyses



Recall from the motivations Equivalence classes



Placement of delay-sensitive servicesTroubleshooting



Conclusions







Conclusions



Automated Investigation of the Impact of BGP Routing Changes on Network Delay Variations





Conclusions



Automated Investigation of the Impact of BGP Routing Changes on Network Delay Variations



Application (+validation) & statistics











Other data sources/vantage points/targets







 Other data sources/vantage points/targets
 Other statistical methods
 Consider noise, gaps, patterns







Other data sources/vantage points/targets
Other statistical methods
Consider noise, gaps, patterns
Unreachability in BGP, gap in RTT: ok!





 Other data sources/vantage points/targets Other statistical methods Consider noise, gaps, patterns • Unreachability in BGP, gap in RTT: ok! 1-way performance indicators in a controlled environment 🛽 Wi-Fi





 Other data sources/vantage points/targets Other statistical methods Consider noise, gaps, patterns • Unreachability in BGP, gap in RTT: ok! 1-way performance indicators in a controlled environment 🛽 Wi-Fi Further analyses

